Aud ssued	itin under	g P	rocedures Re 2 of 1968, as amended an	port Id P.A. 71 of 1919.	as amended.				
			ernment Type			Local Unit Nam			County
□С	ount	у	☐City ☐Twp	∐Village	⊠Other	SAGINAW	COUNTY ROAD COMMIS		SAGINAW
	Year			Opinion Date 01/31/08			Date Audit Report Submitted to 3 02/11/08	State	
-	30/0			01/31/00			02/11/00		
We a				P		I-bi			
			d public accountants				sed in the financial statement	e includ	ing the notes or in the
vve π Mana	irtne igem	ent L	etter (report of com	ments and rec	ommendati	ons).	sed in the mandal statement	.o, 1110100	ang the notes, or in the
	YES	2	Check each applic	cable box belo	ow. (See in	structions for	further detail.)		
1.	X		All required comporeporting entity not	nent units/func es to the finan	ls/agencies cial stateme	of the local uents as neces	init are included in the financi sary.	al stater	nents and/or disclosed in the
2.	×		There are no accur (P.A. 275 of 1980)	mulated deficit or the local ur	s in one or nit has not e	more of this u exceeded its b	nit's unreserved fund balance oudget for expenditures.	es/unres	tricted net assets
3.	X		The local unit is in	compliance wi	th the Unifo	rm Chart of A	accounts issued by the Depar	tment of	Treasury.
4.	\boxtimes		The local unit has a	adopted a bud	get for all re	equired funds.			
5.	×		A public hearing or	the budget w	as held in a	ccordance w	ith State statute.		
6.	X		The local unit has other guidance as	not violated the issued by the l	e Municipal Local Audit	Finance Act, and Finance	an order issued under the Er Division.	nergeno	y Municipal Loan Act, or
7.	×		The local unit has	not been delin	quent in dis	tributing tax r	evenues that were collected t	for anoth	ner taxing unit.
8.	X		The local unit only	holds deposits	:/investmen	ts that comply	y with statutory requirements.	•	
9.	X		The local unit has a Audits of Local Unit	no illegal or un its of Governm	authorized ent in Mich	expenditures <i>igan</i> , as revis	that came to our attention as ed (see Appendix H of Bullet	defined in).	in the <i>Bulletin for</i>
10.	X		that have not been	previously co	mmunicate	d to the Local	ement, which came to our atte Audit and Finance Division (under separate cover.	ention du LAFD). I	uring the course of our audit If there is such activity that ha
11.	X		The local unit is fre	ee of repeated	comments	from previous	s years.		
12.	X		The audit opinion i	s UNQUALIFI	ED.				
13.	X		The local unit has accepted accounti	complied with ng principles (GASB 34 o GAAP).	r GASB 34 as	s modified by MCGAA Staten	nent #7 a	and other generally
14.	\boxtimes		The board or coun	cil approves a	Il invoices p	orior to payme	ent as required by charter or s	statute.	
15.	X		To our knowledge,	, bank reconcil	iations that	were reviewe	ed were performed timely.		
incl des	uded cript	i in t ion(s	t of government (au his or any other au) of the authority an igned, certify that th	ıdit report, no d/or commissio	r do they o on.	btain a stand	operating within the bounda d-alone audit, please enclose in all respects.	ries of t e the na	he audited entity and is not ame(s), address(es), and a
			closed the following		Enclosed		ed (enter a brief justification)		
Fin	ancia	al Sta	atements		\boxtimes				
Th	e lett	er of	Comments and Rec	commendation	s	NO ISSU	ES TO REPORT THIS YEAR	<u></u>	
Other (Describe)									
1			Accountant (Firm Name) R, PROVENZANO), SCHAUMA	N & THOM	MAS, P.C	Telephone Number 989-790-3900	- ·	
1 -	et Ad						City	State	Zip 49602
_			TE STREET SUIT	E SIX		Printed Name	SAGINAW	MI License	48603
I Aut	NOTIZA	ig CP/	A Signature	E		rinted Name	i		

HEATHER A. THOMAS

1101024719

SAGINAW COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION SAGINAW, MICHIGAN FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SEPTEMBER 30, 2007

Contents

	Page <u>Number</u>
Independent Auditor's Report	
Management's Discussion and Analysis	1
Basic Financial Statements	8 .
Financial Statements Statement of Net Assets and Governmental Fund Balance Sheet	9
Reconciliation of Governmental Fund Balance to Net Assets of Governmental Activities	10
Statement of Activities and Governmental Fund Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	11
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance of Governmental Fund to the Statement of Activities	12
Notes to Financial Statements	13
Required Supplemental Information	25
Budgetary Comparison Schedule	26
Additional Supplemental Information	27
Analysis of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance	28
Schedule of Federal Financial Awards	, a 30 °
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Required by Government Auditing Standards	31

CLETT THE PROBLEM CACCOLUNG AND TO ANY TO SERVE THE PROBLEM CACCOLUNG AND THE SERVE AN

Frederick C. Gardner Giacamo Provenzano James R. Schauman Heather A. Thomas

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

January 31, 2008

Members of the Board of County Road Commissioners of Saginaw County Saginaw, Michigan

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities of the Saginaw County Road Commission, a component unit of Saginaw County, as of and for the year ended September 30, 2007, which comprise the Road Commission's basic financial statements, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Saginaw County Road Commission's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the governmental activities of the Saginaw County Road Commission as of September 30, 2007, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Members of the Board of County Road Commissioners of Saginaw County Page Two

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated January 31, 2008, on our consideration of the Saginaw County Road Commission's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

The management's discussion and analysis and budgetary comparison information are not a required part of the basic financial statements but are supplementary information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole. The additional supplemental information is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements of Saginaw County Road Commission and, in our opinion, is fairly presented in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Gardner, Prevenzano, Detraumano thomas, P. (,

Certified Public Accountants

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

INTRODUCTION

The Saginaw County Road Commission (SCRC) is a special purpose government engaged in a single government program of road and bridge maintenance and construction in the County of Saginaw, Michigan. The adoption of GASB-34 in FY03 changed the SCRC financial statement presentation. In the past, governmental entities were required to report financial information only on the modified accrual accounting method. The modified accrual method of accounting focuses on current available resources and is referred to as governmental fund level accounting. Now, in addition to the governmental fund level information, governmental entities are also required to report financial information on the full accrual method of accounting. The full accrual method of accounting focuses on the entity as a whole and is referred to as governmentwide level accounting. For SCRC, the most significant differences between the governmental fund statements and the government-wide statements relate to capital assets (buildings and equipment) and infrastructure (roads, bridges, and signals). Capital assets and infrastructure are not recognized as assets and are not capitalized at the governmental fund level.

As allowed for single purpose governments, the Statements of Net Assets and the Statement of Activities, the fund level financial statements and the government-wide financial statements have been combined and are presented on the same page.

The audited financial activities of SCRC are presented herein. These statements include the following:

- Statement of Net Assets and Governmental Fund Balance Sheet,
- Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet Fund Balance to the Statement of Net Assets,
- Statement of Activities and Governmental Fund Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance, and
- Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities.

CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following are condensed government-wide financial statements for SCRC.

Condensed Statement of Net Assets

Assets		2007			2006
Current	\$	11,642,112		\$	10,473,207
Capital assets		146,390,346	_		143,813,900
Total Assets	\$	158,032,458		\$	154,287,107
			•		·
Liabilities					
Current	\$	945,997		\$	1,277,973
Long-term liabilities		557,786			653,950_
Total Liabilities	\$	1,503,783		\$	1,931,923
			•		
Net Assets					
Restricted	\$	10,138,329		\$	8,541,284
Invested in capital assets - net of related debt		146,390,346			143,813,900
Total Net Assets	\$	156,528,675		\$	152,355,184
			•		
Condensed Stateme					
Revenue		2007			2006
Federal and State Revenue	\$	17,339,365	,	\$	18,927,216
Contributions from Local units		2,564,102			2,302,041
Other, including charges for services		2,230,453			3,091,712
Total Revenue		22,133,920			24,320,969
Expenses					
Primary preventive/routine maintenance		3,247,918			3,382,241
Local preventive/routine maintenance	5,916,474 5,384,			5,384,803	
Depreciation		7,444,880			7,260,271
Administrative		935,122			1,023,788
Other		416,035			714,417
Total Expenses		17,960,429			17,765,520
Change In Net Assets	\$	4,173,491		\$	6,555,449

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF THE COMMISSION AS A WHOLE

The total net assets increased by \$4,173,491 during FY2007. The net assets and changes in net assets are summarized below.

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF THE COMMISSION AS A WHOLE (cont.)

Net Assets

Restricted net assets are those net assets that have constraints placed on them by either: (a) externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments; or (b) imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. Enabling legislation authorizes the government to assess, levy, charge or otherwise mandate payment of resources and includes a legally enforceable requirement that those resources be used only for the specific purpose stipulated in the legislation. As such all assets (except for assets invested in capital assets, net of related debt) are considered restricted.

The restricted net assets increased by \$1,597,045 during 2007. The primary reason for the increase was many local projects planned for completion in the year ended September 30, 2007, were not completed until the first quarter of the next year. Also, up to six positions remained vacant during the course of the year due to budget constraints.

The investment in capital assets, net of related debt increased by \$2,576,446. The increase in net assets is primarily the result of the reporting additional investment in capital assets in the amount of \$11,235,482 for the year 2007. The depreciation for the current year's infrastructure additions will be recognized in the subsequent year.

THE ROAD COMMISSION'S FUND

The Road Commission's general operations fund is used to control the expenditures of Michigan Transportation Fund monies distributed to the County which are earmarked by law for road and highway purposes.

During FY2007, the General Fund balance increased by \$1,500,881 or 16% of the beginning General Fund balance. A major component of the increase is due to the modification of Federal/State projects and the postponement of local projects. It is also due to vacancy of up to six positions during the course of the fiscal year.

Management believes that the General Fund balance provides sufficient working capital to support future operations of SCRC.

BUDGET

The SCRC budget is prepared in accordance with state law using the modified accrual accounting basis. This is the same accounting basis used for the General Fund.

ORIGINAL BUDGET VERSUS AMENDED BUDGET

The 2007 budget was adopted in September 2006. The budget is reviewed periodically and amended as information becomes available or management's plans change. The amended budget for revenue and other financing sources was decreased by \$4,462,482. This decrease is due mainly to the deletion of the proceeds from sale of bonds and the increase in private source contributions. The following is an explanation of these two items:

Proceeds from Sale of Bonds: At the time of the original budget, management was looking into purchasing property in St. Charles to house the administration department, all mechanics, and combine the Hemlock and Chesaning Garages. At the time of the final amended budget, management's plans had changed and the building was no longer going to be purchased.

<u>Private Source Contributions</u>: With the new GASB-34 rules, we are required to report the roads in subdivisions that are built by developers and then accepted by us for maintenance purposes as private contributions. At the beginning of the year, no data is available to inform us of the value of subdivisions to be built over the course of the fiscal year; therefore only the contribution for the Wieneke Road project from a private source in the amount of \$160,000 was budgeted. We amended the budget to reflect estimated contributions of \$1,430,000 which includes the amount for the Wieneke Road project and estimated subdivision contributions.

Total budgeted expenditures decreased from the original budget to the amended budget by approximately \$5,140,719. This decrease is due to a combination of both increases and decreases as follows:

Construction/Capacity Improvements: The increase of \$1,410,863 was mainly due to recording of the value of the subdivisions donated by developers. The remainder was due to increased costs relating to the Burt over Flint River project and also due to additional carryover amounts on the Dixie @ Junction project.

ORIGINAL BUDGET VERSUS AMENDED BUDGET (cont).

<u>Capital Outlay-Net:</u> The decrease of \$5,800,000 is due to the deletion of the \$5,500,000 for the St. Charles Property and \$500,000 for a salt shed in the Saginaw/Kochville area. The St. Charles Property was purchased by another individual, and the purchase of the property and salt shed in the Saginaw/Kochville area has been postponed to the following fiscal year.

<u>Debt Service</u>: The decrease of \$550,000 is due to the deletion of purchasing the St. Charles property.

AMENDED BUDGET VERSUS ACTUAL

The actual revenue exceeded the final amended budget by \$516,062. There are no significant variances that management feels are necessary to report.

The actual expenditures were less than the final amended budget by \$2,255,762. Significant variances are as follows:

<u>Preservation/Structural Improvements:</u> The variance of \$1,952,054 was mainly due to the Towerline project anticipated to be completed in FY2007. This project was carried over to the 1st quarter of FY2008.

<u>Equipment-Net:</u> Management does their best in estimating the revenue received from charges of equipment used during the year. Since the amount of revenue is unknown due to the high use of equipment during the last few months of the fiscal year (construction season), the amount of net equipment expense is simply an educated guess. The variance of \$633,310 is mainly due this FY to the decreased direct expense costs. This is attributed to more projects completed through contracts with MDOT versus our own manpower.

CAPITAL ASSETS

SCRC has capital assets for full accrual accounting purposes, net of accumulated depreciation, of \$146,390,349, which is an increase of \$2,576,446. This information, which includes infrastructure, is summarized below.

CAPITAL ASSETS (cont).

2007	2006
\$ 33,744,124	\$ 32,518,142
2,652,340	2,538,656
11,931,614	11,599,743
1,019,759	965,472
208,709,877	199,837,764_
258,057,714	247,459,777
(111,667,368)	(103,645,877)
\$ 146,390,346	\$ 143,813,900
	\$ 33,744,124 2,652,340 11,931,614 1,019,759 208,709,877 258,057,714 (111,667,368)

Additional information regarding capital assets is located in the notes to the financial statements.

Long-Term Debt

At year-end, the SCRC's long-term debt consisted of compensated absences (accumulated sick and vacation pay) in the amount of \$557,786. More detailed information about the SCRC long-term debt is located in the notes to the financial statements.

OTHER

Management is not aware of any currently known facts, decisions, or conditions expected to have a significant effect on next year and beyond on the SCRC financial condition. However, the Saginaw County Road Commission is looking into the purchasing of land and related salt barn, and possibly a new maintenance garage.

CONTACTING THE SAGINAW COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION'S MANAGEMENT

This financial report is intended to provide our citizens and customers with a general overview of the Saginaw County Road Commission's finances and to show the Saginaw County Road Commission's accountability for the money it receives. If you have any questions about this report or need additional information, we welcome you to contact the Director of Finance and Benefits at 3020 Sheridan Avenue, Saginaw, MI 48601.

BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SAGINAW COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS AND GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCE SHEET SEPTEMBER 30, 2007

and the second of the second o				e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
		General		Statement of
		Fund	Adjustments	Net Assets
ASSETS				
Cash	\$	90,405	\$ -	\$ 90,405
Investment		7,106,660	en e	7,106,660
Accounts Receivable	•	•	garage states	et e
State Transportation Department		2,283,043	· · · · · ·	2,283,043
Sundry		1,967	. +	1,967
Cities, Townships and Villages		1,329,705	- .	1,329,705
Interest		72,970	-	72,970
Inventories				
Equipment materials and parts		138,958		138,958
Road materials		326,989	-	326,989
Prepaid expense		291,415	, .	291,415
Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation		-	146,390,346	146,390,346
Total Assets	\$	11,642,112	\$146,390,346	\$ 158,032,458
	-			
LIABILITIES			i,	
Accounts payable	\$	569,327	\$ -	\$ 569,327
Accrued liabilities	•	111,055	-	111,055
Deposits		209,071	_	209,071
Due to former employees		23,884	-	23,884
Due to State of Michigan		28,708	-	28,708
Deferred revenues		3,952	_	3,952
Compensated absences-due in more than one year		-,	557,786	557,786
Total Liabilities		945,997	557,786	1,503,783
Total Elophilo				
FUND BALANCE/NET ASSETS				
Fund Balances:			d .	
Restricted for County Roads		10,696,115	(10,696,115)	_
Total Fund Balance		10,696,115	(10,696,115)	-
Total Liabilities and Fund Balance	\$	11,642,112	(11-1	
Net Assets:			÷	
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt			146,390,346	146,390,346
Restricted for County Roads			10,138,329	10,138,329
Total Net Assets			\$156,528,675	\$ 156,528,675
1 Old Mot Floods				4 133,323,010
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

SAGINAW COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION RECONCILIATION OF GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCE TO NET ASSETS OF GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES SEPTEMBER 30, 2007

Total governmental fund balance	\$ 10,696,115
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and therefore are not reported in the funds	146,390,346
Long-term liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and therefore are not reported in the funds	(557,786)
Net Assets of Governmental Activities	\$ 156,528,675

SAGINAW COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND GOVERNMENTAL FUND STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2007

	General Fund	Adjustments	Statement of Activities
Revenue			
Federal	\$ 3,328,285	\$ -	\$ 3,328,285
State	14,011,080	-	14,011,080
County			
City and Villages	100,318	•	100,318
Township	2,438,666	-	2,438,666
Other government	25,118	-	25,118
Interest	400,501	-	400,501
Charge for services	91,092	-	91,092
Miscellaneous	25,817	-	25,817
Gain on disposal	267,371	-	267,371
Private source contributions	1,445,672		1,445,672
Total Revenue	22,133,920	-	22,133,920
- "			
Expenditures/expense	000 005	(000 00E)	44.
Primary construction/capacity improvements	908,985	(908,985)(· `. =
Local construction/capacity improvements	1,467,046	(1,467,046)	-
Primary preservation/structural improvements	2,804,551	(2,804,551)	0.047.040
Primary preventive/routine maintenance	3,247,918	-	3,247,918
Local preservation/structural improvements	4,919,788	(4,919,788)	
Local preventive/routine maintenance	5,916,474	-	5,916,474
Administrative	1,031,286	(96,164)	935,122
Net equipment expense	80,390	•	80,390
Net capital outlay			
Capital outlay	1,135,112	(1,135,112)	-
Depreciation	(1,214,156)	1,214,156	-
Infrastructure Depreciation	-	7,444,880	7,444,880
Drain assessment	231,848	-	231,848
Other Non-road	103,797		103,797
Total Expenditures/expense	20,633,039	(2,672,610)	17,960,429
Excess of Revenue Over (Under) Expenditures	1,500,881	(1,500,881)	-
Change in Net Assets	-	4,173,491	4,173,491
Fund Balance/Net Assets - Beginning of Year	9,195,234	143,159,950	152,355,184
Fund Balance/Net Assets - End of Year	\$10,696,115	\$145,832,560	\$ 156,528,675

SAGINAW COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE OF GOVERNMENTAL FUND TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2007

Net Change in fund balancetotal governmental funds	\$	1,500,881
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement are different because:		
Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities, the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives as depreciation expense. This is the amount by which capital outlays exceeded depreciation in the current period. Equipment retirement is recorded as an expenditure credit in governmental funds, but not recorded as an expense in the statement of activities. Capital outlay	4	11,187,849
Depreciation Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources and therefore are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds. (Increase in compensated absences)		(8,611,403) 96,164
Change in net assets of governmental activities	\$	4,173,491

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Saginaw County Road Commission's financial statements are prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is responsible for establishing GAAP for state and local governments through its pronouncements. Governments are also required to follow the pronouncements of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued through November 30, 1989 (when applicable) that do not conflict with GASB pronouncements. The more significant accounting policies established in GAAP and used by the Commission are discussed below.

A. Reporting Entity

The Commission, which is established pursuant to County Road Law (MCL 224.1), is governed by a three-member board of County Road Commissioners appointed by the Saginaw County Board of Commissioners. The Commission is a component unit of the Saginaw County and its financial statements are an integral part of the comprehensive annual financial report of the Saginaw County.

Based upon GASB Statement 14, which establishes criteria for determining the reporting entity, these financial statements present the Saginaw County Road Commission, a discretely presented component unit of Saginaw County, and include the Commission's general operations fund.

The Commission's Operating Fund is used to control the expenditures of Michigan Transportation Fund moneys distributed to the County, which are earmarked by law for street and highway purposes. The Board of County Road Commissioners is responsible for the administration of the Road Commission Operating Fund.

B. Government-Wide Statements and Fund Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements (i.e. the Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Changes in Net Assets) report information on all of the activities of the Saginaw County Road Commission. There is only one fund reported in the government-wide financial statements.

This government-wide approach is focused more on the sustainability of the Commission as an entity and the change in the Commission's net assets from the current year's activities.

B. Government-Wide Statements and Fund Financial Statements (continued)

The Operating Fund is an independent fiscal and accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting segregates funds according to their intended purpose and is used to aid management in demonstrating compliance with finance-related legal and contractual provisions.

C. Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, Financial Statement Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenue is recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenue is recognized as soon as it is both measurable and available. Revenue is considered to be available if it is collected within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the SCRC considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures, as well as expenditures related to compensated absences and claims and judgments are recorded only when payment is due.

Michigan transportation funds, grants, permits, township contributions and interest associated with the current fiscal period are all considered to be susceptible to accrual and have been recognized as revenue of the current fiscal period. All other revenue items are considered to be available only when cash is received by the government.

D. Assets, Liabilities, and Net Assets or Equity

Cash, Cash Equivalents and Investments

Cash and cash equivalents are considered to be cash on hand, demand deposits and short-term investments with a maturity of three months or less when acquired. Investments are stated at fair value.

<u>Inventories</u>

Inventories are priced at cost as determined on the average unit cost method. Inventory items are charged to road construction and maintenance, equipment repairs and operations as used.

Prepaid Expenses

Certain payments to vendors reflect costs applicable to future fiscal years and are recorded as prepaid expense in both the government-wide and fund financial statements.

E. Capital Assets and Depreciation

Capital assets purchased or acquired are reported at historic cost. Contributed assets are reported at fair market value when received. Additions, improvements and other capital outlays that significantly extend the useful life of an asset are capitalized. Other costs incurred for repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred. Capital assets are capitalized and depreciated according to State guidelines. Depreciation is computed on the sum-of-the-year's-digits methods for road equipment and straight-line method for all other capital assets over the following estimated useful lives:

	<u>Years</u>
Buildings	25 - 50
Road equipment	5 – 8
Other equipment	4 – 20
Infrastructure	5 – 50

GASB 34 requires the Commission to report and depreciate infrastructure assets in its government-wide statements. Infrastructure assets include roads, bridges, traffic signals, etc. Neither these assets nor related depreciation have historically been reported in the financial statements issued before the adoption of GASB 34. The Commission has implemented the general provisions and the retroactive infrastructure reporting requirements in the year of GASB 34 adoption.

F. Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The Road Commission follows these procedures in establishing the budgetary data reflected in the financial statements:

 A budget is adopted by the Board of County Road Commissioners of Saginaw County prior to October 1 of each year. The budget includes proposed expenditures and a means of financing them.

- The Saginaw County Road Commission approved budget is then submitted to the Saginaw County Board of Commissioners.
- The budget is prepared by the Road Commission on a basis consistent with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and revised as deemed necessary during the year.
- Budgets are prepared using the modified accrual basis of accounting.
- The budgetary information presented has been amended throughout the year.
- The chief administrative officer is authorized to transfer up to 25% of a line item amount approved in the General Appropriations Act to another line item without prior approval, but subject to approval of the Board of County Road Commissioners at their next regular Board Meeting.

Law requires budget amendments as needed to prevent actual expenditures from exceeding those provided in the budget. Expenditures, which exceeded appropriations, are illustrated in required supplemental information.

G. Liabilities

Accounts Payable

Accounts payable consist of items from which the Commission benefited during the current fiscal year but have not yet paid.

Accrued Expenses

Accrued expenses consist mainly of employee salaries, wages, and related payroll taxes.

Deferred Revenue

Deferred revenue consists of prepaid permits.

Compensated Absences

Consists of amounts due to employees for sick and vacation time.

Due to State of Michigan

Consists of amounts due to the State for the Commission's portion of projects.

Deposits

Performance deposits are amounts paid by contractors and held by the Commission until the projects are completed and inspected.

NOTE 2--CASH AND INVESTMENTS

Michigan Compiled Laws, Section 129.91, authorizes the Road Commission to deposit and invest in the accounts of federally insured banks, credit unions, and savings and loan associations; bonds, securities and other direct obligations of the United States, or any agency or instrumentality of the United States; United States government or federal agency obligations repurchase agreements; banker's acceptance of United States banks; commercial paper rated within the two highest classifications, which mature not more than 270 days after the date purchase; obligations of the State of Michigan or its political subdivisions which are rated as investment grade; and mutual funds composed of investment vehicles which are legal for direct investment by local units of government in Michigan. Financial institutions eligible for deposit of public funds must maintain an office in Michigan.

At year-end, the carrying amount of the commission's deposits was \$7,196,065. The bank balance and county balance was \$7,396,551. Of the bank balance, \$100,000 was covered by federal depository insurance and \$7,296,551 was uninsured and uncollateralized.

All special revenue fund investments are held in the name of the Saginaw County Treasurer; therefore, the insured amount of Road Commission investment is not determinable. Since the County has in excess of the \$100,000 limits, all Road Commission investments are presumed to be uninsured.

A summary of cash and investments follows:

The commission's deposits are categorized below according to level of credit risk:

- Category 1 represents Commission's insured or collateralized deposits with securities held by the Commission or by its agent in the commission's name.
- Category 2 represents the Commission's collateralized deposits with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent in the Commission's name.
- Category 3 represents the Commission's uncollateralized deposits including any bank balances that are collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in Commission's name.

NOTE 2—CASH AND INVESTMENTS (continued)

·	<u> </u>	Ca	tegory	Bank	Carrying	
	1 2			3	Balance	Amount
Demand deposits	\$100,000	\$	—	\$ 190,024	\$ 290,024	\$ 90,055
Investment held by County	-		-	7,106,527	7,106,527	7,106,660
Cash on hand	<u></u>		-	350		350_
Totals	\$100,000	\$		\$7,296,901	\$7,396,551	\$7,197,065

It is the policy of the SCRC to have investments made by the County Treasurer based on his/her judgment.

NOTE 3--CAPITAL ASSETS AND DEPRECIATION

Changes in capital assets for the year are as follows:

Governmental Activities	Balance 10/1/2006	Additions	Retirements	Balance 9/30/2007
Capital Assets Not Being Depreciated				
Land	\$ 620,660	\$ -	\$ (2,275)	\$. 618,385
Land and improvements, infrastructure	31,897,482	1,228,257		33,125,739
Total Land and Improvements	32,518,142	1,228,257	(2,275)	33,744,124
Other Capital Assets				
Land Improvements	409,075	_	- .	409,075
Depletable Assets	62,750	_	_	62,750
Buildings	2,066,831	113,684	_	2,180,515
Road equipment	11,599,743	904,192	(572,321)	11,931,614
Shop equipment	136,914	304,132	(1,099)	135,815
Engineers equipment	287,556	12,022	(34,525)	265,053
Yard and storage equipment	5,646	12,022	(07,020)	5,646
Office equipment	535,356	105,214	(27,325)	613,245
Infrastructure and improvements	199,837,764	8,872,113	(21,020)	208,709,877
Total Other Capital Assets	214,941,635	10,007,225	(635,270)	224,313,590
Total Capital Assets	247,459,777	11,235,482	(637,545)	258,057,714
Total Suprial / 100010			(00.10.0)	
Accumulated Depreciation				
Land Improvements	375,676	5,406	-	381,082
Depletable Assets	12,393	-	-	12,393
Buildings	1,549,311	52,356	-	1,601,667
Road equipment	8,969,631	1,003,021	(528,097)	9,444,555
Shop equipment	95,920	7,632	(1,099)	102,453
Engineers equipment	126,390	16,795	(33,901)	109,284
Yard and storage equipment	5,646	-	-	5,646
Office equipment	323,810	81,313	(26,815)	378,308
Infrastructure and improvements	92,187,100	7,444,880	-	99,631,980
Total Accumulated Depreciation	103,645,877	8,611,403	(589,912)	111,667,368
Total Net Capital Assets	\$ 143,813,900	\$ 2,624,079	\$ (47,633)	\$ 146,390,346

NOTE 4--LONG-TERM LIABILITIES

The Road Commission pays 65% of accrued unused sick leave at retirement. The amount due at September 30, 2007 is \$338,933. Additionally, up to twenty days vacation may be carried over to a succeeding year, subject to certain restrictions. Accumulated vacation payable at September 30, 2007 is \$218,853.

Following is a summary of long term liabilities for the year ended September 30, 2007:

	Balance			Balance
	10/1/2006	Increase	(Reduction)	9/30/2007
Accrued compensated				
absences	\$ 653,950	\$ 48,610	\$ 144,774	\$ 557,786
Total	\$ 653,950	\$ 48,610	\$ 144,774	\$ 557,786

NOTE 5--UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION

The Road Commission is subject to the Michigan Employment Security Act and has elected the reimbursement method of financing. Under this method, the Road Commission must reimburse the Michigan Employment Security Commission for all benefits charged against the Road Commission. There were no unemployment expenses for the year.

NOTE 6--PENSION PLAN

Plan Description

The Road Commission's defined benefit pension plan provides retirement, disability, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. The Road Commission participates in the Municipal Employees Retirement System of Michigan (MERS), an agent multiple-employer plan administered by the MERS Retirement Board, Act No. 427 of the Public Acts of 1984, as amended by 1996 PA 220, establishes and amends the benefit provisions of the participants in MERS. The fiscal year for the retirement plan ends December 31. The Municipal Employees Retirement System of Michigan issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for MERS. That report may be obtained by writing the Municipal Employees Retirement System of Michigan, 447 N. Canal Road, Lansing, Michigan 48917 or by calling (800) 767-6377.

NOTE 6--PENSION PLAN (continued)

Funding Policy

The union members of the plan are required to contribute at a current rate of 4.7% of annual covered payroll. Management is currently contributing 2% of annual covered payroll. The employer contribution requirements are established and may be amended by the Retirement Board of MERS. The employee contribution requirements, if any, are established and may be amended by the Road Commission depending on the MERS contribution program adopted by the Road Commission.

Annual Pension Cost

For the year ended September 30, 2007, the Road Commission's annual pension cost and required contribution was \$212,225. The required contribution was determined as part of the December 31, 2005, actuarial valuation using the entry age actuarial cost method. The Road Commission chose to make voluntary contributions in the amount of \$246,000 for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007. The actuarial assumptions included (a) an assumed rate of investment return which is used to discount liabilities and project what plan assets will earn, the net long-term investment yield is assumed to be 8%, (b) a mortality table projecting the number of employees who will die before retirement and the duration of benefit payments after retirement, (c) assumed retirement rates projected when employees will retire and commence receiving benefits, (d) a set of withdrawal and disability rates to estimate the number of employees who will leave the work force before retirement, (e) assumed rates of salary increases of 4.5% to project employees compensation in future years and (f) no specific price inflation assumption was needed for this valuation, the 4.5% wage inflation assumption would be consistent with a price inflation of 3% to 4%. The actuarial value of MERS assets was determined on a basis of a valuation method that assumes the fund earns the expected rate of return and includes an adjustment to reflect market value. The December 31, 2006 actuarial valuation reflects the following changes in actuarial methods: prospective adoption of 10 year smoothing, instead of 5 year, for calculating valuation assets, prospective gradual reduction of the amortization period for open divisions from 30 years to 25 years.

I hree-\	/ear	l rend	Informa	ation

	Annual	Percentage	Net
Calendar	Pension	of APC	Pension
Year Ended	Cost	Contributed	Asset
9/30/2005	\$ 165,246	1.46%	\$ 76,000
9/30/2006	\$ 190,837	1.57%	\$ 110,000
9/30/2007	\$ 212,225	2.16%	\$ 246,000

NOTE 6--PENSION PLAN (continued)

	$\epsilon_{ m f}$		Unfunded		UAAL
• .		Actuarial	(Over		as a
	Actuarial	Accrued	Funded)		% of
Actuarial	Value of	Liability	AAL	Funded Covered	Covered
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	(UAAL)	Ratio Payroll	Payroll
Date	. (a)	(b)	(b-a)	(a/b)(c)	(b-a)/ (c)
12/31/2004	\$ 22,394,199	\$ 21,078,435	\$ (1,315,764)	106% \$3,814,645	0.0%
12/31/2005	\$ 22,940,073	\$ 22,607,641	\$ (332,431)	101% \$3,684,655	0.0%
12/31/2006	\$ 23,789,437	\$ 23,482,439	\$ (306,998)	101% \$3,491,129	0.0%

The Road Commission's actuarial accrued liability is over-funded as of December 31, 2006, the date of the last actuary report.

NOTE 7--RISK MANAGEMENT

The Road Commission is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omission; injuries to employees; and natural disasters.

The Road Commission participates in the Michigan County Road Commission Self Insurance Pool (MCRCSIP), a public entity risk pool currently operating as a common risk management program for road commissions in the State of Michigan. The Road Commission pays an annual premium to SIP for its general insurance coverage. The MCRCSIP is self-sustaining through member premiums.

The Road Commission participates in the County Road Association Self Insurance Fund (CRASIF) for its workers' compensation benefits. The CRASIF is also a common risk management program for road commissions in the State of Michigan and is self-sustaining through premiums. In the event of unusually high claims, both the MCRCSIP and the CRASIF have the authority to bill the member road commissions retroactively.

During the year ended September 30, 2007, employees of the Commission were covered by the Saginaw County Road Commission's medical self-insurance plan. The Commission contributed approximately 92% per month per employee of the estimated "premium". The remaining 8% is paid through pretax payroll deduction. Claims were paid by Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan acting on behalf of the Commission.

NOTE 7--RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

The administrative contract between the Commission and Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan is renewable annually. Administrative fees and stop-loss premiums are included in the contractual provisions. The Commission was protected against unanticipated catastrophic individual or aggregate loss by stop-loss coverage carried through Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan. Stop loss coverage was in effect for individual and aggregate claims exceeding \$15,000, which is based on a factor determined monthly by Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan.

Employees of the Commission are covered by the Saginaw Road Commission's prescription drug plan administered through 4D. The administrative contract between the Commission and 4D is renewable annually. Administrative fees are included in the contractual provisions. The Commission pays a monthly administrative fee and reimburses 4D for claims made by employees.

The Road Commission continues to carry commercial insurance for other risks of loss, including Commission's bonds and accident insurance.

NOTE 8--LITIGATION

The Road Commission is a party to various legal proceedings, which normally occur in governmental operations for which the Road Commission carries commercial insurance.

NOTE 9--POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

In addition to the pension benefits described in Note 6, the Saginaw County Road Commission provides post-employment health, dental and life insurance benefits, in accordance with Saginaw County Road Commission's union contract Article 28, to all employees who retire from the Saginaw County Road Commission and qualify for benefits under MERS. Currently, 80 retirees' contracts meet those eligibility requirements. Coverage for non-union retirees is provided upon the discretion of management.

For those employees who terminate without retirement, healthcare benefits are terminated. At that time, the former employee has continuation rights to health insurance coverage under the COBRA law of 1985.

NOTE 9--POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (continued)

The Saginaw County Road Commission pays approximately 92% of the cost for Community Blue PPO 2 for retired union employees, dependents and surviving spouses eligible to participate in the group plan. They may elect to buy up to Community Blue PPO 1 at 100% of the cost difference between PPO 2 & 1. They may also elect to buy down to Community Blue PPO 3 and be paid 50% of the difference. All are still required to pay 25% of the increase in premium from one year to the next no matter which PPO plan they choose.

The Saginaw County Road Commission pays 92% of the cost for Community Blue PPO 2 for retired management employees, dependents and surviving spouses eligible to participate in the group plan.

The Saginaw County Road Commission pays 100% of the cost for dental coverage for management and union retirees, dependents and surviving spouses eligible to participate in the group plan. The Saginaw County Road Commission also pays 100% of the cost for life insurance coverage for the employee/retiree only.

Expenditures for post-employment healthcare are recognized as claims or premiums as paid. During the year ended September 30, 2007, the cost of health, dental and life insurance benefits for retirees, dependents and surviving spouses was \$882,759. Retirees made contributions of \$46,438.

NOTE 10--FEDERAL GRANTS

The Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) requires that road commissions report all Federal and State grants pertaining to their county. During the year ended September 30, 2007, the Federal aid received and expended by the Road Commission was \$3,397,653 for contracted projects and \$0 for negotiated projects. Contracted projects are defined as projects performed by private contractors paid for and administered by MDOT (they are included in MDOT's single audit). Negotiated projects are projects where the Commission administers the grant and either performs the work or contracts it out. The Road Commission would be subject to single audit requirements if they expended \$500,000 or more for negotiated projects.

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

SAGINAW COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE GENERAL FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2007

	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual	Actual Vs. Final Budget Favorable (Unfavorable)	
Revenue					
Federal	\$ 3,972,960	\$ 3,700,800	\$ 3,328,285	\$ (372,515)	
State	13,671,380	13,746,558	14,011,080	264,522	
County					
City and Villages	1,000	3,000	. 100,318	97,318	
Township	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,438,666	438,666	
Other government	52,000	17,000	25,118	8,118	
Interest	175,000	320,000	400,501	80,501	
Charge for services	100,000	100,000	91,092	(8,908)	
Miscellaneous	18,000	30,500	25,817	(4,683)	
Gain on disposal	430,000	270,000	267,371	(2,629)	
Private source contributions	160,000	1,430,000	1,445,672	15,672	
Total Revenue	20,580,340	21,617,858	22,133,920	516,062	
Expenditures Construction/capacity improvements Preservation/structural improvements Preventive/routine maintenance Administration Capital Outlay-net Equipment-net Drain assessment Other Debt service Total Expenditures Excess of Revenues Over	1,102,240 9,933,854 9,039,621 1,003,000 5,570,000 570,805 245,000 15,000 550,000	2,513,103 9,676,393 8,891,605 1,067,000 (230,000) 713,700 245,000 12,000	2,376,031 7,724,339 9,164,392 1,031,286 (79,044) 80,390 231,848 103,797	137,072 1,952,054 (272,787) 35,714 (150,956) 633,310 13,152 (91,797)	
(Under) Expenditures	(7,449,180)	(1,270,943)	1,500,881	2,771,824	
Other financing sources			•		
Proceeds from the sale of bonds	5,500,000		-		
Total other Financing sources	5,500,000				
Excess of Revenues and Other Financing Sources Over (Under) Expenditures	(1,949,180)	(1,270,943)	1,500,881	2,771,824	
Fund Balance, Beginning of Year	9,195,234	9,195,234	9,195,234	•	
Fund Balance, End of Year	\$ 7,246,054	\$ 7,924,291	\$ 10,696,115	\$ 2,771,824	

ADDITIONAL SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

SAGINAW COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION ANALYSIS OF REVENUE, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE--SPECIAL REVENUE FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2007

	Appropriated			
	Primary	Local	County	
	Roads	Roads	Roads	Total
Revenues			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Federal				
Surface transportation program	\$ 672,026	\$ 1,574,874	\$ -	\$ 2,246,900
D funds	463,454	-	-	463,454
Bridge	-	590,350		590,350
Other high risk rural	27,581			27,581
Total Federal	1,163,061	2,165,224		3,328,285
State				
Engineering	6,648	3,352	-	10,000
Urban road	1,137,743	575,075	-	1,712,818
Allocation	7,582,316	3,822,814	_	11,405,130
Critical bridge	95,437	110,691	-	206,128
State bonus funds	149,878	389,989	1,071	540,938
Economic development fund	136,066		· -	136,066
Total State	9,108,088	4,901,921	1,071	14,011,080
County				
City and villages	_	-	100,318	100,318
Township	95,130	2,307,677	35,859	2,438,666
Other government	5,764	_,,	19,354	25,118
Total County	100,894	2,307,677	155,531	2,564,102
Other				
Interest and rents	166,776	147,690	86,035	400,501
Charges for services	9,109	59,209	22,774	91,092
Miscellaneous	-	-	25,817	25,817
Gain (loss) equipment disposals	50,801	64,169	152,401	267,371
Private source contributions	-	1,445,672		1,445,672
Total Other	226,686	1,716,740	287,027	2,230,453
Total Revenues	\$10,598,729	\$ 11,091,562	\$ 443,629	\$ 22,133,920

See independent auditor's report on supplementary information.

SAGINAW COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION ANALYSIS OF REVENUE, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE--SPECIAL REVENUE FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2007

	Appropriated			
	Primary Local Roads Roads		County	
			Roads	Total
Expenditures				
Construction/capacity improvements	\$ 908,985	\$ 1,467,046	\$ -	\$ 2,376,031
Preservation/structural improvements	2,804,551	4,919,788	-	7,724,339
Preventive/routine maintenance	3,247,918	5,916,474	-	9,164,392
Other				
Administration	372,662	658,624	-	1,031,286
Equipment	1,181,089	2,048,104	147,601	3,376,794
Less: equipment rental	(1,152,969)	(1,999,347)	(144,088)	(3,296,404)
Capital outlay	567,556	•	567,556	1,135,112
Less: depreciation credits				
and retirements	(230,690)	(291,397)	(692,069)	(1,214,156)
Drain assessment	57,974	173,874	-	231,848
Other Non-road	-	-	103,797	103,797
Total Other	795,622	589,858	(17,203)	1,368,277
Total Expenditures	7,757,076	12,893,166	(17,203)	20,633,039
Excess of revenue over				
(under) expenditures	2,841,653	(1,801,604)	460,832	1,500,881
Other financing sources (uses) Optional transfer	(2,000,000)	2,000,000		-
Fund Balance, Beginning	3,829,060	3,390,870	1,975,304	9,195,234
Fund Balance, Ending	\$ 4,670,713	\$ 3,589,266	\$2,436,136	\$ 10,696,115

See independent auditor's report on supplementary information.

SAGINAW COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION SCHEDULE OF FEDERAL FINANCIAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2007

Federal Grantor/Pass Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title U. S. Department of Transportation Highway Research, Planning and Construction	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-through Entity Number	Federal penditures
Michigan Department of Transportation	20.205		
		54328A 86724A 88408A 84101A 78954A 86281A 86282A 54328A 86724A 86112A 88270A	\$ 24,700 165,522 559,910 1,559,956 122,234 192,011 276,104 28,959 434,495 14,919 18,843
Total administered by the State of Michigan		00210A	 3,397,653
Adjustments to previous year project		84980A	(78,106)
HRR Funds		88272A	8,738
Total Federal Revenue			\$ 3,328,285

Note: Federal financial assistance, received under the highway planning and construction program, in the amount of \$3,397,653 was administered by the State of Michigan. The Road Commission has no responsibilities regarding fiscal or compliance controls over such assistance.

See independent auditor's report on supplementary information.

Frederick C. Gardner Giacamo Provenzano James R. Schauman Heather A. Thomas

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

January 31, 2008

Members of the Board of County Road Commissioners of Saginaw County Saginaw, Michigan

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities of Saginaw County Road Commission as of and for the year ended September 30, 2007, which comprise Saginaw County Road Commission's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated January 31, 2008. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Saginaw County Road Commission's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Saginaw County Road Commission's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Saginaw County Road Commission's internal control over financial reporting.

Members of the Board of County Road Commissioners of Saginaw County Page Two

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects Saginaw County Road Commission's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of Saginaw County Road Commission's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by Saginaw County Road Commission's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by Saginaw County Road Commission's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Saginaw County Road Commission's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended for the information of management and the Board of County Road Commissioners. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Sandrer, Purenzam, Dahauman 3 Mmos, PC.

Certified Public Accountants